

A Generalization of Monotonicity Condition and Applications*

D. S. Yu and S. P. Zhou

ABSTRACT: In the present paper, we introduce a new class of sequences called *NBVS* to generalize *GBVS*, essentially extending monotonicity from “one sided” to “two sided”, while some important classical results keep true.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42A20, 42A32.

§1. Introduction

It is well known that there are a great number of interesting results in Fourier analysis established by assuming monotonicity of coefficients, and many of them have been generalized by loosing the condition to quasi-monotonicity, O -regularly varying quasi-monotonicity, etc.. Generally speaking, it has become an important topic how to generalize monotonicity.

Recently, Leindler [5] defined a new class of sequences named as *sequences of rest bounded variation* and briefly denoted by *RBVS*, keeping some good properties of decreasing sequences. A null sequences $\mathbf{C} := \{c_n\}$ is of rest bounded variation, or $\mathbf{C} \in RBVS$, if $c_n \rightarrow 0$ and for any $m \in N$ it holds that

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |\Delta c_n| \leq K(\mathbf{C})c_m,$$

where $\Delta c_n = c_n - c_{n+1}$, and $K(\mathbf{C})$ denotes a constant only depending on $\mathbf{C}$. By the definition of *RBVS*, it clearly yields that if $\mathbf{C} \in RBVS$, then for any $n \geq m$, it holds that

$$c_n \leq K(\mathbf{C})c_m.$$

*Supported in part by Natural Science Foundation of China under grant number 10471130.

Denote by MS the monotone decreasing sequence and $CQMS$ the classic quasi-monotone decreasing sequences¹, then it is obvious that

$$MS \subset RBVS \cap CQMS.$$

Leindler [6] proved that the class $CQMS$ and $RBVS$ are not comparable. Very recently, Le and Zhou [3] suggested the following new class of sequences to include both $RBVS$ and $CQMS$:

Definition 1. Let $\mathbf{C} := \{c_n\}$ be a sequence satisfying $c_n \in M(\theta_0) := \{z : |\arg z| \leq \theta_0\}$ for some $\theta_0 \in [0, \pi/2)$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If there is an integer $N_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{k=m}^{2m} |\Delta c_k| \leq K(\mathbf{C}) \max_{m \leq n < m+N_0} |c_n|$$

holds for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$, where here and throughout the paper, $K(\mathbf{C})$ always indicates a positive constant only depending on $\mathbf{C}$, and its value may be different even in the same line, then we say $\mathbf{C} \in GBVS$.

Le and Zhou [3] proved that

$$RBVS \cup CQMS \subset GBVS.$$

If $\{c_n\}$ is a nonnegative sequence tending to zero for a special case $N_0 = 1$, then $GBVS$ can be restated as

Definition 1'. Let $\mathbf{C} := \{c_n\}$ be a nonnegative sequence tending to zero, if

$$\sum_{n=m}^{2m} |\Delta c_n| \leq K(\mathbf{C}) c_m$$

holds for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$, then we say $\mathbf{C} \in GBVS$.

For convenience, it will refer to $GBVS$ in Definition 1' (or $GBVS$ in Definition 1 for $N_0 = 1$) when we mention $GBVS$ in the present paper later.

After some interesting investigations, Zhou and Le [12] comes to reach a conclusion by saying that “In any sense, monotonicity and ‘rest bounbded variation’ condition are all ‘one sided’ monotonicity condition, that is, a positive sequence $\mathbf{b} = \{b_n\}$ under

¹ $\mathbf{C} = \{c_n\} \in CQMS$ means that there is an $\alpha \geq 0$ such that c_n/n^α is decreasing.

any of these conditions satisfies $b_n \leq Cb_k$ for $n \geq k$: b_n can be controlled by one factor b_k . But for $\{b_n\} \in GBVS$, one can calculate, for $k \leq n \leq 2k$,

$$b_n = \sum_{j=n}^{2k} \Delta b_j + b_{2k+1} \leq \sum_{j=n}^{2k} |\Delta b_j| + b_{2k+1} \leq K(\mathbf{b})b_k + b_{2k+1},$$

and this can be actually regarded as a ‘two sided’ monotonicity: b_n is controlled not only by b_k but also by b_{2k+1} . Therefore, the essential point of *GBV* condition is to extend monotonicity from ‘one sided’ to ‘two sided’.” However, by the definition of *GBVS*, we finally realize to deduce that

$$b_{2k+1} \leq \sum_{j=k}^{2k} |\Delta b_j| + |b_k| \leq K(\mathbf{b})b_k,$$

hence, $b_n \leq K(\mathbf{b})b_k$ for all $k \leq n \leq 2k$ means that *GBV* condition is still a “one sided” condition in some local sense. Therefore the object of the present paper is to suggest a new class of sequence named as *NBVS* to include *GBVS* (for the special case $N_0 = 1$) essentially extending monotonicity from “one sided” to “two sided”, while some important classical results keep true.

§2. Main Results

Definition 2. Let $\mathbf{C} := \{c_n\}$ be a sequence satisfying $c_n \in M(\theta_0) := \{z : |\arg z| \leq \theta_0\}$ for some $\theta_0 \in [0, \pi/2)$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If

$$\sum_{n=m}^{2m} |\Delta c_n| \leq K(\mathbf{C})(|c_m| + |c_{2m}|)$$

holds for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$, then we say $\mathbf{C} \in NBVS$.

The following result implies that *NBVS* is an essential generalization of *GBVS*.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathbf{C} := \{c_n\}$, if $\mathbf{C} \in GBVS$ (for the special case $N_0 = 1$), then $\mathbf{C} \in NBVS$, but the converse is not true, that is, there exists a null sequence $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\mathbf{C} \in NBVS$, but $\mathbf{C} \notin GBVS$.

Next we will prove that some important classical results still keep true by applying this new condition.

Let $C_{2\pi}$ be the space of all complex valued continuous functions $f(x)$ of period 2π with the norm

$$\|f\| := \max_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x)|.$$

Given a trigonometric series $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{ikx}$, write

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx}$$

at any point x where the series converges, and denote its n th partial sum $S_n(f, x)$ by $\sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{ikx}$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathbf{C} := \{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a complex sequence satisfying*

$$c_n + c_{-n} \in M(\theta_0), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

for some $\theta_0 \in [0, \pi/2)$, and $\mathbf{C} \in NBVS$, then the necessary and sufficient conditions for $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - S_n(f)\| = 0$ are that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nc_n = 0 \quad (1)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n + c_{-n}| < \infty. \quad (2)$$

As an application of Theorem 2, we have

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathbf{b} = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a nonnegative sequences. If $\mathbf{b} \in NBVS$, then a the necessary and sufficient conditions either for the uniform convergence of series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin nx$, or the continuity of its sum function $f(x)$, is that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nb_n = 0$.*

Theorem 3 can be derived by applying Theorem 2 by using exactly the same technique as [3] did, we omit the details. We remark that Theorem 3 is first established for decreasing real sequences $\{b_n\}$ by Chaundy and Jolliffe [2], for $\{b_n\} \in CQMS$ by Nurcombe [7], for $\{b_n\} \in RBVS$ by Leindler [5], and for general $\{c_n\} \in GBVS$ by Le and Zhou [3].

Denote by $E_n(f)$ the best approximation of f by trigonometric polynomials of degree n . Then

Theorem 4 *Let $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in NBVS$ and $\{c_n + c_{-n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in NBVS$, and*

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}.$$

Then $f \in C_{2\pi}$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n c_n = 0. \quad (1')$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n + c_{-n}| < \infty. \quad (2')$$

Furthermore, if $f \in C_{2\pi}$, then

$$E_n(f) \sim \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k (|c_{n+k}| + |c_{-n-k}|) + \max_{k \geq 2n+1} k |c_k - c_{-k}| + \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}|.$$

Theorem 4 was established by Zhou and Le [11] for *GBVS*, readers can find further related information in [11].

Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \cos nx.$$

The following theorem is an interesting application to a hard problem in classical Fourier analysis.

Corollary 1. *Let $\{c_n\} \in \text{NBV}$ be a real sequence. If $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and*

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} c_k = O\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k c_{n+k}\right),$$

then

$$\|f - S_n(f)\| = O(E_n(f)).$$

The original form of Corollary 1 for *GBVS* could be found in [11].

Let $L_{2\pi}$ be the space of all complex valued integrable functions $f(x)$ of period 2π with the norm

$$\|f\|_L = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)| dx.$$

Denote the Fourier series of $f \in L_{2\pi}$ by $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx}$.

Theorem 5. *Let $f(x) \in L_{2\pi}$ be a complex valued function. If the Fourier coefficients of f satisfying that both $\{\hat{f}(n)\}_{n=0}^{+\infty} \in \text{NBVS}$ and $\{\hat{f}(-n)\}_{n=0}^{+\infty} \in \text{NBVS}$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - S_n(f)\|_L = 0 \text{ if and only if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(n) \log |n| = 0.$$

As a special case, we have

Corollary 2. *Let $f(x) \in L_{2\pi}$ be a real valued function. If $\{\hat{f}(n)\}_{n=0}^{+\infty} \in NBVS$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - S_n(f)\|_L = 0 \text{ if and only if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(n) \log n = 0.$$

Let $E_n(f)_L$ be the best approximation of a complex valued function $f \in L_{2\pi}$ by trigonometric polynomials of degree n in the integral metric, that is,

$$E_n(f)_L := \inf_{c_k} \left\| f - \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{ikx} \right\|_L.$$

We establish the following L^1 -approximation theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let $f(x) \in L_{2\pi}$ be a complex valued function, $\{\psi_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence tending to zero with that $\psi_n = O(\psi_{2n})$. If both $\{\hat{f}(n)\}_{n=0}^{+\infty} \in NBVS$ and $\{\hat{f}(-n)\}_{n=0}^{+\infty} \in NBVS$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - S_n(f)\|_L = O(\psi_n)$$

if and only if

$$E_n(f)_L = O(\psi_n) \text{ and } \hat{f}(n) \log |n| = O(\psi_{|n|}).$$

Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 were established for O -regularly varying quasi-monotone sequences by Xie and Zhou [10], and for $GBVS$ by Le and Zhou [4].

§3. Proof of Results

§3.1. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\mathbf{C} := \{c_n\}$. By the definition of $GBVS$ and $NBVS$, it is obvious that $\mathbf{C} \in GBVS$ implies $\mathbf{C} \in NBVS$.

Set $k_j = 2^j, j = 1, 2, \dots$. Define

$$c_n := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k_j^2}, & j \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{k_j^3}, & j \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for $k_j \leq n < k_{j+1}$ and $c_1 = 1$. Let $2^j \leq m < 2^{j+1}$ (without loss of generality, assume that $j > 2$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=m}^{2m} |\Delta c_k| &= |c_{2^{j+1}-1} - c_{2^{j+1}}| = |c_{2^j} - c_{2^{j+1}}| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{2j+2}} = c_{2m}, & j \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2j}} = c_m, & j \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{C} \in NBVS$. On the other hand, Let $m = 2^{2n+1}$, we have

$$\sum_{k=m}^{2m} |\Delta c_k| = \frac{1}{(2m)^2} - \frac{1}{m^3} \geq \frac{1}{8m^2},$$

that means,

$$\frac{\sum_{k=m}^{2m} |\Delta c_k|}{c_m} \geq \frac{m}{8}.$$

In other words, there is no constant $K(\mathbf{C})$ such that

$$\sum_{k=m}^{2m} |\Delta c_k| \leq K(\mathbf{C})c_m$$

holds for all $m \geq 1$. Therefore, $\mathbf{C} \notin GBVS$.

§3.2. Proof of Theorem 2

Lemma 1 (Xie and Zhou [9]). *Let $\mathbf{C} := \{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a complex sequence satisfying*

$$c_n + c_{-n} \in M(\theta_0), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

for some $\theta_0 \in [0, \pi/2)$. Then $f \in C_{2\pi}$ implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n + c_{-n}| < \infty.$$

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathbf{C} := \{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy all conditions of Theorem 2. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - S_n(f)\| = 0$ implies that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nc_n = 0.$$

Proof. A standard calculation yields that

$$S_{4n}(f, x) - S_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{4n} c_k (e^{ikx} - e^{-ikx}) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{4n} (c_k + c_{-k}) e^{-ikx}.$$

Let $x_0 = \frac{\pi}{8n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=n+1}^{4n} \text{Rec}_k &\leq 2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{4n} \text{Rec}_k \sin kx_0 = \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{4n} \text{Rec}_k (e^{ikx_0} - e^{-ikx_0}) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{4n} c_k (e^{ikx_0} - e^{-ikx_0}) \right| \\ &\leq \|S_{4n}(f) - S_n(f)\| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{4n} |c_k + c_{-k}|. \end{aligned}$$

If $a, b \in K(\theta_0)$ for some $\theta_0 \in [0, \pi/2)$, then $a + b \in K(\theta_0)$. Hence the condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - S_n(f)\| = 0$ (consequently $c_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$) implies $|c_n| \leq M(\theta_0) \text{Rec}_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. By the definition of $NBVS$, for $n \leq k \leq 2n$, it holds that

$$|c_{2n}| \leq \sum_{j=k}^{2n-1} |\Delta c_j| + |c_k| \leq \sum_{j=k}^{2k} |\Delta c_j| + |c_k| \leq K(\mathbf{C}) (|c_k| + |c_{2k}|). \quad (3)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} n|c_{2n}| &\leq K(\mathbf{C}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} (|c_k| + |c_{2k}|) \leq K(\mathbf{C}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{4n} |c_k| \\ &\leq K(\mathbf{C}, \theta_0) \sum_{k=n+1}^{4n} \text{Rec}_k. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 with all the above estimates, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nc_{2n} = 0$. A similar discussion also yields $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nc_{2n+1} = 0$. Lemma 2 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. We will follow the line of proof in [3], only some necessary modifications will be mentioned.

Necessity. Applying Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we immediately have (1) and (2).

Sufficiency. It is not difficult to see that, under the conditions (1) and (2), $\{S_n(f, x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for each x , consequently it converges at each x . Now we need only to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (c_k e^{ikx} + c_{-k} e^{-ikx}) \right\| = 0$$

in this case. In view of (1) and (2), for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, it holds that

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}| < \varepsilon \quad (4)$$

and

$$n|c_n| < \varepsilon. \quad (5)$$

Let $n \geq n_0$, set

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (c_k e^{ikx} + c_{-k} e^{-ikx}) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (c_k + c_{-k}) e^{-ikx} + 2i \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k \sin kx := I_1(x) + 2iI_2(x).$$

By (4), we get

$$I_1(x) < \varepsilon.$$

For $x = 0$ and $x = \pi$, we have $I_2(x) = 0$. Therefore, we may restrict $x \in (0, \pi)$. Take $N := [1/x]$ and set²

$$I_2(x) = \sum_{k=n}^{N-1} c_k \sin kx + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} c_k \sin kx := J_1(x) + J_2(x).$$

From (5) with $N = [1/x]$, it follows that

$$|J_1(x)| \leq \sum_{k=n}^{N-1} |c_k \sin kx| \leq x \sum_{k=n}^{N-1} k|c_k| < x(N-1)\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon.$$

Set $D_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sin kx$, it is well known that $|D_n(x)| \leq \frac{\pi}{x}$. By Abel's transformation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2(x)| &= \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta c_k| |D_k(x)| + c_N |D_{N-1}(x)| \leq Mx^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta c_k| + |c_N| \right) \\ &\leq K(\mathbf{C}) \left(x^{-1} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta c_k| + N|c_N| \right) \leq K(\mathbf{C}) \left(x^{-1} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta c_k| + \varepsilon \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

²When $N \leq n$, the same argument as in estimating J_2 can be applied to deal with $I_2(x) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k \sin kx$ directly.

Since $\mathbf{C} \in NBVS$, we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta c_k| &\leq \sum_{k \geq \log N / \log 2} \sum_{j=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} |\Delta c_k| + \sum_{k=N}^{2N} |\Delta c_k| \\
&\leq K(\mathbf{C}) \left(\sum_{k \geq \log N / \log 2} (|c_{2^k}| + |c_{2^{k+1}}|) + |c_N| + |c_{2N}| \right) \\
&\leq K(\mathbf{C}) \left(\sum_{k \geq \log N / \log 2} 2^{-k} (2^k |c_{2^k}| + 2^{k+1} |c_{2^{k+1}}|) + (N|c_N| + 2N|c_{2N}|) N^{-1} \right) \\
&\leq K(\mathbf{C}) \varepsilon \left(\sum_{k \geq \log N / \log 2} 2^{-k} + N^{-1} \right) \leq K(\mathbf{C}) \varepsilon N^{-1}. \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (6) and (7) yields that $|J_2(x)| \leq K(\mathbf{C})\varepsilon$, and altogether it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - S_n(f)\| = 0.$$

§3.3. Proof of Theorem 4

Lemma 3. *Let $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in NBVS$, $\{c_n + c_{-n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in NBVS$, and*

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}.$$

Suppose $f \in C_{2\pi}$, then

$$\max_{k \geq 1} k |c_{\pm(n+k)}| = O(E_n(f)).$$

Proof. Let $t_n^*(x)$ be the trigonometric polynomials of best approximation of degree n , then from the obvious equality

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| e^{\pm i(n+1)x} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{\pm ikx} \right)^2 \right| dx = N$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^N \left(k c_{\mp(n+k)} + (N-k) c_{\mp(n+N+k)} \right) \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (f(x) - t_n^*(x)) e^{\pm i(n+1)x} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{\pm ikx} \right)^2 dx \right| \leq N E_n(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
NE_n(f) &\geq \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \left(k c_{\mp(n+k)} + (N-k) c_{\mp(n+N+k)} \right) \right) \\
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^N (k \operatorname{Re} c_{n+k} + (N-k) \operatorname{Re} c_{n+N+k}) \\
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^N k \operatorname{Re} c_{n+k}. \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\frac{N}{2} \leq j \leq N$, it follows from the definition of $NBVS$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
|c_{n+N}| &\leq \sum_{k=j}^{N-1} |\Delta c_{n+k}| + |c_{n+j}| \leq \sum_{k=j}^{2j} |\Delta c_{n+k}| + |c_{n+j}| \\
&\leq K (|c_{n+j}| + |c_{n+2j}|).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
N^2 |c_{n+N}| &\leq KN \sum_{j=[N/2]}^N (|c_{n+j}| + |c_{n+2j}|) \\
&\leq KN \sum_{j=[N/2]}^{2N} |c_{n+j}| \leq K \sum_{j=1}^{2N} j |c_{n+j}| \\
&\leq K \sum_{j=1}^{2N} j \operatorname{Re} c_{n+j} \leq 2KN E_n(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we already have

$$N |c_{n+N}| \leq K E_n(f), \quad N \geq 1,$$

or in other words,

$$\max_{k \geq 1} k |c_{n+k}| = O(E_n(f)). \quad (9)$$

Analogue to (8), we can also achieve that

$$2NE_n(f) \geq \sum_{k=1}^N k (\operatorname{Re} c_{n+k} + \operatorname{Re} c_{-n-k}).$$

Then the condition $\{c_n + c_{-n}\} \in NBVS$, in a similar argument as (9), leads to

$$\max_{k \geq 1} k |c_{n+k} + c_{-n-k}| = O(E_n(f)).$$

Thus

$$\max_{k \geq 1} |kc_{-n-k}| \leq \max_{k \geq 1} k|c_{n+k} + c_{n-k}| + \max_{k \geq 1} k|c_{n+k}| = O(E_n(f)).$$

Lemma 3 is completed.

Lemma 4 ([8, Lemma 4]) *Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$, $c_n + c_{-n} \in K(\theta_1)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, for some $0 \leq \theta_1 < \pi/2$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}| = O(E_n(f)).$$

Lemma 5. *Let $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in NBVS$ and $\{c_n + c_{-n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in NBVS$, then*

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n c_{\pm(n+k)} \sin kx \right| = O \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k(|c_{n+k}| + |c_{-n-k}|) \right)$$

holds uniformly for any $x \in [0, \pi]$.

Proof. The case $x = 0$ and $x = \pi$ are trivial. When $0 < x \leq \pi/n$, by the inequality $|\sin x| \leq x$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n c_{n+k} \sin kx \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k|c_{n+k}| \leq \pi \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k|c_{n+k}|. \quad (10)$$

When $x > \pi/n$, we can find a natural number $m < n$ such that $m \leq \pi/x < m+1$, then

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^m c_{n+k} \sin kx \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m k|c_{n+k}| + \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n c_{n+k} \sin kx \right| =: T_1 + T_2.$$

It is clear that

$$|T_1| \leq \pi \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k|c_{n+k}|. \quad (11)$$

By Abel's transformation, we get

$$T_2 = \sum_{k=m+1}^{n-1} \Delta c_{n+k} \sum_{j=1}^k \sin jx + c_{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sin jx - c_{n+m+1} \sum_{j=1}^m \sin jx,$$

hence³

$$|T_2| \leq C(m+1) \sum_{k=m+1}^{n-1} |\Delta c_{n+k}| + C \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k|c_{n+k}|.$$

³Note that $\sum_{j=1}^k \sin jx = O(x^{-1}) = O(m+1)$ for $m \leq \pi/x < m+1$.

Note that $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in NBVS$. If n is an odd number, then

$$\sum_{k=[n/2]}^{n-1} |\Delta c_{n+k}| = \sum_{k=(n-1)/2}^{n-1} |\Delta c_{n+k}| \leq K \left(|c_{2n-1}| + |c_{(3n-1)/2}| \right);$$

if n is an even number, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=[n/2]}^{n-1} |\Delta c_{n+k}| &\leq \sum_{k=n/2-1}^{n-2} |\Delta c_{n+k}| + |\Delta c_{2n-1}| \\ &\leq K \left(|c_{2n-2}| + |c_{3n/2-1}| + |c_{2n-1}| + |c_{2n}| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by setting

$$n^* =: \begin{cases} \frac{3n-1}{2}, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{3n}{2}, & n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=m+1}^{n-1} |\Delta c_{n+k}| &\leq \sum_{k=[\log(m+1)/\log 2]+1}^{[\log n/\log 2]} \sum_{j=2^{k-1}}^{2^k} |\Delta c_{n+j}| + \sum_{k=[n/2]}^{n-1} |\Delta c_{n+k}| \\ &\leq K \sum_{k=[\log(m+1)/\log 2]+1}^{[\log n/\log 2]} (|c_{n+2^k}| + |c_{n+2^{k+1}}|) \\ &\quad + K (|c_{2n-1}| + |c_{n^*}| + |c_{2n-2}| + |c_{2n-1}| + |c_{2n}|) \\ &\leq K \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k |c_{n+k}| \sum_{k=[\log(m+1)/\log 2]+1}^{[\log n/\log 2]} 2^{-k} \\ &\quad + K (|c_{2n-1}| + |c_{n^*}| + |c_{2n-2}| + |c_{2n-1}| + |c_{2n}|) \\ &\leq K(m+1)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k |c_{n+k}| \\ &\quad + K (|c_{2n-1}| + |c_{n^*}| + |c_{2n-2}| + |c_{2n-1}| + |c_{2n}|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, with all the above estimates, we deduce that

$$|T_2| \leq K \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k |c_{n+k}|. \quad (12)$$

Combining (12) with (10) and (11), we finally have

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n c_{n+k} \sin kx \right| = O \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k |c_{n+k}| \right).$$

Now write

$$\sum_{k=1}^n c_{-n-k} \sin kx = \sum_{k=1}^n (c_{n+k} + c_{-n-k}) \sin kx - \sum_{k=1}^n c_{n+k} \sin kx.$$

Applying the above known estimates, by noting that both $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in NBVS$ and $\{c_n + c_{-n}\}_{n=0}^\infty \in NBVS$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n c_{-n-k} \sin kx \right| &= O \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k |c_{n+k} + c_{-n-k}| + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k |c_{n+k}| \right) \\ &= O \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k (|c_{n+k}| + |c_{-n-k}|) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5 is proved.

Lemma 6. *Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$, $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in NBVS$ and $\{c_n + c_{-n}\}_{n=0}^\infty \in NBVS$, then*

$$\left| \sum_{k=m}^\infty c_{\pm k} \sin kx \right| = O \left(\max_{k \geq m} k (|c_k| + |c_{-k}|) \right)$$

holds uniformly for any $x \in [0, \pi]$ and any $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Write

$$J(x) = \sum_{k=m}^\infty c_k \sin kx.$$

Since for $x = 0$ and $x = \pi$, $J(x) = 0$, then we may restrict x within $(0, \pi)$ without loss of generality. Take $N = [1/x]$ and set⁴

$$I(x) = \sum_{k=m}^{N-1} c_k \sin kx + \sum_{k=N}^\infty c_k \sin kx =: K_1(x) + K_2(x).$$

Write $\varepsilon_m = \max_{k \geq m} k |c_k|$. It follows from $N = [1/x]$ that

$$K_1(x) \leq x \sum_{k=m}^{N-1} k |c_k| < x(N-1)\varepsilon_m \leq \varepsilon_m.$$

By Abel's transformation, similar to the proof of Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} |K_2(x)| &\leq \left| \sum_{k=N}^\infty \Delta c_k \sum_{\nu=1}^k \sin \nu x - c_N \sum_{\nu=1}^{N-1} \sin \nu x \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=N}^\infty |\Delta c_k| \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^k \sin \nu x \right| + |c_N| \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{N-1} \sin \nu x \right| \\ &\leq Kx^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \sum_{k=2^j N}^{2^{j+1}N-1} |\Delta c_k| + Kx^{-1} |c_N| \\ &\leq K\varepsilon_m \sum_{j=0}^\infty 2^{-j} \leq K\varepsilon_m. \end{aligned}$$

⁴When $N \leq m$, the same argument as in estimating K_2 can be applied to deal with $J(x)$ directly.

The treatment of $\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} c_{-k} \sin kx$ is similar.

Proof of Theorem 4. Necessity. suppose $f \in C_{2\pi}$. From Lemma 3, (1') clearly holds, while by Lemma 4, we see that

$$\sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}| = O(E_n(f)),$$

thus (2') holds.

Sufficiency. It can be deduced from Theorem 2.

Now, assume that $f \in C_{2\pi}$, by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we see that

$$\max_{k \geq 1} k |c_{\pm(n+k)}| + \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}| = O(E_n(f)).$$

On the other hand, rewrite $f(x)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{k=-2n}^{2n} c_k e^{ikx} + i \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} (c_k - c_{-k}) \sin kx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} (c_k + c_{-k}) (e^{ikx} + e^{-ikx}), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(f) &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n (c_{n+k} e^{i(n+k)x} + c_{-n-k} e^{-i(n+k)x}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{k=1}^n (c_{n+k} e^{i(n-k)x} + c_{-n-k} e^{-i(-n+k)x}) \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} (c_k - c_{-k}) \sin kx \right\| + \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n c_{n+k} \sin kx \right\| + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n c_{-n-k} \sin(-kx) \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} (c_k - c_{-k}) \sin kx \right\| + \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5 yields that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n c_{n+k} \sin kx \right\| + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n c_{-n-k} \sin(-kx) \right\| \leq K \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k (|c_{n+k}| + |c_{-n-k}|).$$

By noting that $c_k - c_{-k} = 2c_k - (c_k + c_{-k})$ and both $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in NBVS$ and $\{c_n + c_{-n}\}_{n=0}^\infty \in NBVS$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} (c_k - c_{-k}) \sin kx \right\| = O \left(2 \max_{k \geq 2n+1} k|c_k| + \max_{k \geq 2n+1} k|c_k + c_{-k}| \right)$$

by Lemma 6. Suppose that $\max_{k \geq 2n+1} k|c_k| = k_0|c_{k_0}|$. Assume that $2n+1 \leq k_0 \leq 4n$, then by the definition of $NBVS$, we get

$$|c_{k_0}| \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{4n-1} |\Delta c_k| + |c_{4n}| \leq \sum_{k=2n}^{4n} |\Delta c_k| + |c_{4n}| \leq K(|c_{2n}| + |c_{4n}|),$$

and hence

$$k_0|c_{k_0}| \leq K \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k|c_{n+k}| + 4n|c_{4n}| \right). \quad (13)$$

Meanwhile, for $k_0 \geq 4n+1$,

$$\begin{aligned} k_0|c_{k_0}| &\leq \frac{1}{2}k_0|c_{k_0} - c_{-k_0}| + \frac{1}{2}k_0|c_{k_0} + c_{-k_0}| \\ &\leq \max_{k \geq 2n+1} k|c_k - c_{-k}| + O \left(\sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $k_0 \geq 4n+1$ and the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{k_0} + c_{-k_0}| &\leq \sum_{k=j}^{k_0-1} |\Delta(c_k + c_{-k})| + |c_j + c_{-j}| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=j}^{2j} |\Delta(c_k + c_{-k})| + |c_j + c_{-j}| \\ &\leq K(|c_j + c_{-j}| + |c_{2j} + c_{-2j}|) \end{aligned}$$

for $k_0/2 + 1 < j \leq k_0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} k_0|c_{k_0} + c_{-k_0}| &\leq K \sum_{j=k_0/2+1}^{k_0} (|c_j + c_{-j}| + |c_{2j} + c_{-2j}|) \\ &\leq K \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}|. \end{aligned}$$

By the same technique, for the factor appearing in (13), we also have

$$4n|c_{4n}| \leq K \left(\max_{k \geq 2n+1} k|c_k - c_{-k}| + O \left(\sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}| \right) \right).$$

Altogether, we see that

$$\max_{k \geq 2n+1} k|c_k| \leq K \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k|c_{n+k}| + \max_{k \geq 2n+1} k|c_k - c_{-k}| + O\left(\sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}|\right)$$

holds in any case. With condition that $\{c_k + c_{-k}\} \in NBVS$, by a similar argument we can easily get

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{k \geq 2n+1} k|c_k + c_{-k}| &\leq K \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} k(|c_{n+k}| + |c_{-n-k}|) \\ &+ O\left(\sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} |c_k + c_{-k}|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the above estimates, we have completed the proof of Theorem 4.

§3.4. Proof of Theorem 5 and Theorem 6

From the definition of $NBVS$, it can be easily deduced that

Lemma 8. *Let $\{c_n\} \in NBVS$, then*

$$\sum_{k=n}^{2n} |\Delta c_k| \log k = O\left(\max_{n \leq k \leq 2n} |c_k| \log k\right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Lemma 9 (Xie and Zhou [10]). *Write*

$$\phi_{\pm n}(x) := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \left(e^{i(k \mp n)x - e^{-i(k \pm n)x}} \right),$$

then

$$|\phi_{\pm n}(x)| \leq 6\sqrt{\pi}.$$

Proof of Theorem 5. Denote

$$\tau_{2n,n}(f, x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} S_k(f, x),$$

then obviously,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - \tau_{2n,n}(f)\|_L = 0. \quad (14)$$

Write

$$D_k(x) := \frac{\sin((2k+1)x/2)}{2\sin(x/2)},$$

$$D_k^*(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{\cos(x/2) - \cos((2k+1)x/2)}{2\sin(x/2)} & |x| \leq 1/n, \\ -\frac{\cos((2k+1)x/2)}{2\sin(x/2)} & 1/n \leq |x| \leq \pi, \end{cases}$$

$$E_k(x) := D_k(x) + iD_k^*(x).$$

For $k = n, n+1, \dots, 2n$, we have (see [10], for example)

$$E_k(\pm x) - E_{k-1}(\pm x) = e^{\pm ikx}, \quad (15)$$

$$E_k(x) + E_k(-x) = 2D_k(x), \quad (16)$$

$$\|E_k\|_L + \|D_k\|_L = O(\log k). \quad (17)$$

By (15) and (16) and applying Abel's transformation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{2n,n}(f, x) - S_n(f, x) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} (2n-k) \left(\hat{f}(k)e^{ikx} + \hat{f}(-k)e^{-ikx} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} (2n-k) \left(2\Delta\hat{f}(k)D_k(x) - (\Delta\hat{f}(k) - \Delta\hat{f}(-k))E_k(-x) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} \left(\hat{f}(k+1)E_k(x) - \hat{f}(-k-1)E_k(-x) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\hat{f}(n)E_n(x) + \hat{f}(-n)E_n(-x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (17) and Lemma 8 yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_{2n,n}(f) - S_n(f)\|_L &= O \left(\sum_{k=n}^{2n} (|\Delta\hat{f}(k)| + |\Delta\hat{f}(-k)|) \log k + \max_{n \leq |k| \leq 2n-1} |\hat{f}(k)| \log k \right) \\ &= O \left(\max_{n \leq |k| \leq 2n} |\hat{f}(k)| \log k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|f - S_n(f)\|_L \leq \|f - \tau_{2n,n}(f)\|_L + O \left(\max_{n \leq |k| \leq 2n} |\hat{f}(k)| \log k \right),$$

then it follows from (14) and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(n) \log |n| = 0 \quad (18)$$

that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - S_n(f)\|_L \leq \varepsilon,$$

in other words,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - S_n(f)\|_L = 0. \quad (19)$$

Now we come to prove that (19) implies (18). By Lemma 9, we derive that

$$\frac{1}{6\sqrt{\pi}} \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (f(x) - S_n(f, x)) \phi_n(x) dx \right| \leq \|f - S_n(f)\|_L,$$

thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \hat{f}(n+k) = O(\|f - S_n(f)\|_L),$$

especially,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Re} \hat{f}(n+k) = O(\|f - S_n(f)\|_L).$$

In the same way, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Re} \hat{f}(2n+k) = O(\|f - S_{2n}(f)\|_L).$$

By (3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{f}(2n)| \log n &\leq C |\hat{f}(2n)| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} \\ &\leq K(\mathbf{C}) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} (|\hat{f}(n+j)| + |\hat{f}(2n+2j)|) \\ &\leq K(\mathbf{C}) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} \operatorname{Re} \hat{f}(n+j) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} \operatorname{Re} \hat{f}(2n+2j) \right) \\ &\leq K(\mathbf{C}) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} \operatorname{Re} \hat{f}(n+j) + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{j} \operatorname{Re} \hat{f}(2n+j) \right) \\ &\leq K(\mathbf{C}) (\|f - S_n(f)\|_L + \|f - S_{2n}(f)\|_L). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (19) implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\hat{f}(2n)| \log n = 0.$$

The same argument can be applied as well to achieve that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\hat{f}(2n+1)| \log n = 0.$$

Therefore, we already have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\hat{f}(n)| \log n = 0.$$

The same discussion leads to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} |\hat{f}(n)| \log |n| = 0.$$

Theorem 5 is completed.

The proof of Theorem 6 can be proceeded exactly in the same way as that of Theorem 5 to achieve the required rate. We omit it here.

References

1. **A. S. Belov**, *On sequential estimate of best approximation and moduli of continuity by sums of trigonometric series with quasimonotone coefficients*, Matem. Zemetik, 51(1992),132-134. (in Russian)
2. **T. W. Chaundy and A. E. Jolliffe**, *The uniform convergence of a certain class of trigonometric series*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (15)(1916), 214-216.
3. **R. J. Le and S. P. Zhou**, *A new condition for the uniform convergence of certain trigonometric series*, Acta Math. Hungar., 108(2005),161-169.
4. **R. J. Le and S. P. Zhou**, *On L^1 convergence of Fourier series of complex valued functions*, Studia Math. Sci. Hungar., to appear.
5. **L. Leindler**, *On the uniform convergence and boundedness of a certain class of sine series*, Anal. Math., 27(2001), 279-285.
6. **L. Leindler**, *A new class of numerical sequences and its applications to sine and cosine series*, Anal. Math., 28(2002), 279-286.
7. **J. R. Nurcombe**, *On the uniform convergence of sine series with quasimonotone coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 166(1992), 577-581.

8. **W. Xiao, T. F. Xie and S. P. Zhou**, *The best approximation rate of certain trigonometric series*, Ann. Math. Sinica, 21(A)(2000), 81-88. (in Chinese)
9. **T. F. Xie and S. P. Zhou**, *On certain trigonometric series*, Analysis, 14(1994), 227-237.
10. **T. F. Xie and S. P. Zhou**, *L^1 -approximation of Fourier series of complex valued functions*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburg, 126A(1996), 343-353.
11. **S. P. Zhou and R. J. Le**, *Some remarks on the best approximation rate of certain trigonometric series*, Acta Math. Sinica, to appear. (in Chinese)
12. **S. P. Zhou and R. J. Le**, *A new condition and applications in Fourier analysis, II*, Advan. Math.(Beijing), 34(2005), 249-252.
13. **A. Zygmund**, *Trigonometric Series, 2nd. Ed., Vol.I*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.

Yu Dansheng

Institute of Mathematics
 Zhejiang Sci-Tech University
 Xiasha Economic Development Area
 Hangzhou Zhejiang 310018 China
 e-mail: danshengyu@yahoo.com.cn

Zhou Songping

Institute of Mathematics
 Zhejiang Sci-Tech University
 Xiasha Economic Development Area
 Hangzhou Zhejiang 310018 China
 e-mail: szhou@zjip.com